



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

AS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/320,077	05/26/1999	PATRICK NOTTE	SOLU113	4070

7590 03/07/2002  
Craig M. Lundell  
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE LLP  
750 Bering Drive  
Houston, TX 77057-2198

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2002

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

15

DATE MAILED:

**NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH 37 CFR 1.192(c)**

*MAILED ON JAN. 22, 2002*  
The Appeal Brief ~~filed~~ is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See 1092 O.G. 33, July 12, 1988 and MPEP §1206.

Applicant is given ONE MONTH from the date of this letter or any time remaining in the period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing a new complete brief. If a new brief that fully complies with 37 CFR 1.192(c) is not timely submitted, the appeal will be dismissed as of the date of expiration of the period provided by 37 CFR 1.192(a). No extension of this one month period may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a) but the original two-month period under 37 CFR 1.192(a) for filing the brief may be extended under 37 CFR 1.136(a) up to six months from the date of the Notice of Appeal.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(1). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
3. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of each amendment filed subsequent to the final rejection. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(2). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
4. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(3). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
5. ☒ The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(4). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
6. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
7. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal. 37 CFR 1.192(c)(6). (See explanation in box 8 below, if appropriate.)
8. ☐ Explanation in support of items 1-7 above, if appropriate:

- ① IN THE "ISSUES" SECTION OF THE APPEAL BRIEF, COMMENTS REGARDING WHAT THE REFERENCES TEACH SHOULD BE DELETED - PLEASE SEE THE DISCUSSION OF THE "ISSUES" SECTION SET FORTH IN SECTION 1206 IN THE MPEP (8th ed.).
- ② IT WOULD BE HELPFUL IF THE CORRECTED OATH WOULD BE SUBMITTED WITH THE CORRECTED APPEAL BRIEF TO MATERIALLY REDUCE THE NUMBER OF OUTSTANDING ISSUES.

*Timothy Vanoy*  
**TIMOTHY VANOH**  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1488 1754

ph.: 703-308.2540

March 4, 2002

*Steven P. Graw*  
**STEVEN P. GRAW**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

BEST AVAILABLE COPY